

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION


The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CURTIS WONG  
and STEVEN DRUCKER

Application No. 09/650,481



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 22, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

On October 8, 2004, an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed. The Examiner failed to properly consider the IDS according to MPEP § 609 which states:


" . . . . The Examiner must also full in his or her name and the date the information was considered in blocks at the bottom of the PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08B form." Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner for consideration of the IDS, and for further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
CRAIG FEINBERG  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

CF/dal

Amin & Turocy, LLP  
24<sup>th</sup> Flr.,  
National City Center  
1900 East Ninth Street  
Cleveland, OH 44114